

REVIEW

IFN-α subtypes: distinct biological activities in anti-viral therapy

K Gibbert¹, JF Schlaak², D Yang³ and U Dittmer¹

¹Department of Virology, University Hospital Essen, ²Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany, and ³Department of Infectious Diseases, Tongji Hospital, Wuhan, China

Correspondence

Kathrin Gibbert, Institute for Virology, University Hospital in Essen, Virchowstr. 179, 45147 Essen, Germany. E-mail: kathrin.gibbert@uni-due.de

Keywords

IFN- α subtypes; immunotherapy; immunomodulation; viral infection

Received

18 June 2012

Revised

15 August 2012

Accepted

7 September 2012

During most viral infections, the immediate host response is characterized by an induction of type I IFN. These cytokines have various biological activities, including anti-viral, anti-proliferative and immunomodulatory effects. After induction, they bind to their IFN- α/β receptor, which leads to downstream signalling resulting in the expression of numerous different IFN-stimulated genes. These genes encode anti-viral proteins that directly inhibit viral replication as well as modulate immune function. Thus, the induction of type I IFN is a very powerful tool for the host to fight virus infections. Many viruses evade this response by various strategies like the direct suppression of IFN induction or inhibition of the IFN signalling pathway. Therefore, the therapeutic application of exogenous type I IFN or molecules that induce strong IFN responses should be of great potential for future immunotherapies against viral infections. Type I IFN is currently used as a treatment in chronic hepatitis B and C virus infection, but as yet is not widely utilized for other viral infections. One reason for this restricted clinical use is that type I IFN belongs to a multigene family that includes 13 different IFN- α subtypes and IFN- β , whose individual anti-viral and immunomodulatory properties have so far not been investigated in detail to improve IFN therapy against viral infections in humans. In this review, we summarize the recent achievements in defining the distinct biological functions of type I IFN subtypes in cell culture and in animal models of viral infection as well as their clinical usage in chronic hepatitis virus infections.

Abbreviations

alb-IFN, albuferon; APOBEC, apolipoprotein B mRNA-editing enzyme-catalytic polypeptide-like; CIFN, consensus IFN; DC, dendritic cells; FV, Friend retrovirus; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; IFNAR, interferon- α/β -receptor; iNOS, inducible NOS; IP-10, IFN- γ -induced protein 10; IRF, IFN-regulatory factor; ISG, IFN-stimulated genes; MCMV, murine cytomegalovirus; MDA-5, melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5; Mx, myxovirus resistance protein; OAS, oligoadenylate synthetase; poly I : C, polyinosinic : polycytidylic acid; RIG-I, retinoic acid-inducible gene 1 protein; SVR, sustained virological response; TAK-1, TGF-activated kinase-1; TLR, toll-like receptors; TRIM, tripartite motifs; VSV, vesicular stomatitis virus; WHV, woodchuck hepatitis virus

The biology of type I IFNs

During a viral infection, the initial host response against invading and replicating viruses is the induction of type I IFNs. IFNs are a multigene family consisting of numerous IFN- α subtypes which all bind to their receptor (IFN- α / β -receptor, IFNAR) leading to the activation of the JAK and

STAT signalling pathway resulting in the expression of several hundred genes, so called IFN-stimulated genes (ISG). These gene products have various functions such as anti-viral, anti-proliferative, anti-tumour or immunomodulatory activities. Some of these directly inhibit viral transcription and translation and thus immediately reduce viral loads (Clemens and Elia, 1997; Stark *et al.*, 1998). Others improve the host innate



or adaptive immune response by activation of NK cells (Trinchieri *et al.*, 1981; Salazar-Mather *et al.*, 1996), upregulation of proteins of the antigen presentation machinery (Epperson *et al.*, 1992; Hermann *et al.*, 1998), maturation of dendritic cells (DC) (Le Bon *et al.*, 2003), and augmentation of CD8⁺ T-cell (Honda *et al.*, 2005; Le Bon *et al.*, 2006a,b) and B-cell responses (Le Bon *et al.*, 2001; Le Bon *et al.*, 2006b).

The IFN response is initially induced by recognition of viral components such as RNA or DNA. RNA viruses are recognized by pattern recognition receptors, for example endosomal toll-like receptors (TLR3, 7/8) or cytosolic helicases [retinoic acid-inducible gene 1 protein (RIG-I) or melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA-5)]. In contrast, DNA viruses can be recognized by endosomal TLR9, cytosolic DNA-dependent activator of IFN-regulatory factors, the DNA-binding protein IFI16 or other DNA sensors [reviewed in Keating et al. (2011)] In the case of TLR3, the sensing leads to downstream signalling via TLR adaptor molecule 1 and in the case of RIG-I or MDA-5 via IFN-β-promotor stimulator 1 resulting in the TAK-binding kinase 1-dependent activation of IRF3 (IFN-regulatory factor) or the TAK-1dependent (TGF-activated kinase 1) activation of NFκB [reviewed in Akira and Takeda (2004)]. With the exception of TLR3, all TLRs recruit MyD88 upon activation, which results in TAK-1-dependent activation of NFκB or the phosphorylation of MAPK. Post activation, these factors translocate to the nucleus and bind to the IFN-β promotor leading to the expression of the 'primary' IFN genes IFN-β and IFN-α4 (Erlandsson et al., 1998; Marie et al., 1998). This expression is independent of IRF7, which is required for the subsequent induction of all other IFN- α subtypes. IFN- β and IFN- α 4 bind to IFNAR in an autocrine loop and induce IRF7 expression, which in turn leads to the expression of other IFN- α genes (Sato et al., 1998) and the expression of various ISG. This results in an anti-viral state of the infected as well as neighbouring cells that is characterized by the expression of ISG encoding enzymes like PKR, oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS), myxovirus resistance protein (Mx), apolipoprotein B mRNAediting enzyme-catalytic polypeptide-like (APOBEC) or tripartite motifs (TRIM), which directly inhibit viral replication. PKR recognizes double-stranded RNA, gets activated by dimerization and following auto-phosphorylation. It then phosphorylates the eukaryotic translational initiation factor 2 resulting in a translational stop (reviewed in Garcia et al., 2006). OAS also targets dsRNA as a cofactor, which results in the oligomerization of ATP through an unusual 2',5'phosphodiester linkage that is followed by activation of RNase L. This ribonuclease then degrades cellular and viral RNAs (Silverman, 2007). Mx genes encode for GTPases, which recognize viral nucleocapsids and restrict their localization and the subsequent viral replication within the cell (Haller et al., 2007). For retrovirus infections, it was shown that other restriction factors are important for the anti-viral activity induced by type I IFN. The IFN-induced genes - APOBEC3F/ 3G, TRIM5α and tetherin – show potent anti-retroviral activity by hypermutation of viral DNA, compromising the uncoating step of the virus or the release of viral particles, respectively (Sheehy et al., 2002; Stremlau et al., 2004; Neil

Apart from its more direct anti-viral effects, IFN- α can strongly modulate innate and adaptive immune responses in

the host. IFN- α enhances the proliferation, cytotoxicity and IFN- γ secretion of NK cells (Biron *et al.*, 1984; Li *et al.*, 1990; Hunter *et al.*, 1997). It up-regulates the expression of major histocompatibility complexes class I and II on antigen presenting cells (Epperson *et al.*, 1992; Hermann *et al.*, 1998) and facilitates cross-presentation of viral antigens to CD8⁺ T-cells by DCs (Le Bon *et al.*, 2003), which enhances adaptive immune responses. IFN- α also augments the cytotoxicity of CD8⁺ T cells and macrophages and enhances the antibody production by B cells (Le Bon *et al.*, 2006b). Type I IFN plays a pivotal role in the activation of virus-specific T cells in lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus infection of mice because CD8⁺ T cells require type I IFN for optimal clonal expansion (Kolumam *et al.*, 2005).

Due to the various effects of type I IFN, viruses have evolved many strategies to efficiently overcome the host IFN-response (reviewed in Randall and Goodbourn 2008). Thus, exogenous application of type I IFN or endogenous induction by IFN-inducing drugs could increase anti-viral activity and improve host immune responses and should therefore be useful for clinical treatment of several virus infections.

Type I IFN in the clinical treatment of viral diseases

Right after the discovery of type I IFN in 1957 (Isaacs and Lindenmann, 1957), researchers and physicians were interested in a possible clinical application of type I IFN. In 1980, IFN- α 1, IFN- α 2 and IFN- β were purified, cloned and sequenced, improving the clinical usage of type I IFN (Nagata *et al.*, 1980).

Hepatitis C

To date, treatment with IFN- $\alpha 2$ is the backbone of therapy for acute and chronic hepatitis C (HCV). The rationale for the treatment of acute hepatitis C is to avoid the development of chronicity. Initially, it could be shown that a 24-week course of monotherapy with unpegylated IFN could cure more than 90% of the acutely infected patients (Jaeckel *et al.*, 2001; Wiegand *et al.*, 2004). More recent studies demonstrated that pegylated IFN (pegIFN) is equally effective (Santantonio *et al.*, 2005; Wiegand *et al.*, 2006). Currently, there are two pegIFN on the market: pegIFN- $\alpha 2$ a and pegIFN- $\alpha 2$ b.

The beneficial effects of IFN-α in chronic HCV were first reported in 1986 leading to its approval for clinical use in hepatitis C by the Food and Drug Administration in 1990. Initially, a course of IFN at a dose of 3 million units twice weekly for 48 weeks was recommended (NIH, 1997). However, it was associated with very limited rates of sustained virological response (SVR), in the range of 12-16%. The addition of ribavirin significantly improved response rates to the range of 35-45% (McHutchison and Poynard, 1999). From 2001 to 2011, the combination of pegIFN and ribavirin was the standard treatment of chronic hepatitis C. These treatment protocols resulted in SVR rates of approximately 70–90% in patients with genotypes 2 and 3, but only 40–50% in patients with other genotypes (Manns et al., 2001; Fried et al., 2002). Genotypes 2 and 3 patients received pegIFN and weight-adjusted ribavirin for 24 weeks. More



recently, triple therapy including IFN, ribavirin and a protease inhibitor has been established leading to SVR rates of approximately 70% in naive genotype 1 patients (Poordad *et al.*, 2011; Sherman *et al.*, 2011).

Hepatitis B

In the 1970s, the first clinical trials with low-dose impure IFN-α against hepatitis B virus infection (HBV) showed promising results (Greenberg et al., 1976). In 1991, conventional IFN- α 2b was the first drug approved for the treatment of HBV infection (Hoofnagle et al., 1988). Its major mechanism of action is the modulation of the immune system, although there is also a weak direct anti-viral effect. However, it was difficult to select patients and decide when to start treatment as well as when to stop it. Thus, the treatment was arbitrarily given for 16–24 weeks. PegIFN- α 2a was approved in 2005. Since then, conventional IFN- α has been gradually replaced by pegIFN- α 2a. The duration of pegIFN- α therapy was arbitrarily chosen using 48 weeks compared with 16-24 weeks for conventional IFN- α . Even with the extension of therapy duration to 48 weeks, it has been shown that the HBeAg seroconversion rate (33%), which correlates with SVR, is almost identical to that of conventional IFN- α as determined in a meta-analysis (32%). In addition, after 3 years of follow-up for HBeAg-negative patients with lower baseline HBV DNA levels, the rate of undetectable HBV DNA by PCR is only 18% (Marcellin et al., 2009).

New IFNs

Beside recombinant pegIFN- α , a variety of different forms of IFN- α are under development and clinical investigation. The recombinant fusion protein of IFN-α2b with human serum albumin, so called albuferon (alb-IFN), is under intense investigation. It was shown in vitro, that alb-IFN has the same anti-viral properties as IFN- α , and the induction of ISG is very similar to that of pegIFN-α2a and 2b (Liu et al., 2007). In vivo studies in cynomolgus monkeys revealed a prolonged halflife of alb-IFN in comparison with IFN- α (Osborn *et al.*, 2002). The efficacy of alb-IFN was evaluated in clinical phase IIb studies with IFN-naive patients chronically infected with HCV, in which it was administered every 2 or 4 weeks (Zeuzem et al., 2008). The clinical studies showed that alb-IFN had similar anti-viral effects to pegIFN- α (Nelson et al., 2010; Zeuzem et al., 2010). However, in phase III studies, a higher incidence of serious pulmonary adverse events was observed in alb-IFN-treated patients, which resulted in the cessation of further development by Novartis and Human Genome Sciences in 2010.

Another recombinant IFN, which is under intense study, is the consensus IFN (CIFN). This IFN is an artificial cytokine that contains the most common amino acid at each position in the protein among all human IFN- α subtypes (Blatt *et al.*, 1996). *In vitro* studies demonstrated a higher anti-viral activity against vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) of CIFN compared with IFN- α 2a or 2b (Ozes *et al.*, 1992). Clinical studies with therapy-naive chronic HCV patients treated with CIFN plus ribavirin showed more SVR than with standard treatment (reviewed in Witthoft 2008) . However, due to its short

half-life, CIFN has to be administered daily, which is an obvious disadvantage for clinical use.

IFN-α subtypes

Type I IFN belong to a multigene family consisting of multiple IFN-α subtypes but only one IFN-β, IFN-ε, IFN-κ, and IFN- ω (human) or limitin (mouse) (van Pesch *et al.*, 2004). All 13 human IFN-α subtype genes are located on chromosome 9, whereas the murine genome encodes for 14 subtypes on chromosome 4. All type I IFN have similarities in structure, like the lack of introns or the length of the protein (161–167 amino acids), and their protein sequence is highly conserved (75-99% amino acid sequence identity) (Zwarthoff et al., 1985; Hardy et al., 2004). Interestingly, they all bind the same ubiquitously expressed receptor, called IFNAR, but they still differ in their biological activities. Explanations for their distinct functions come from studies reporting that the various human IFN- α subtypes all bind with different affinities to the IFNAR receptor subunits 1 and 2. One study compared the binding affinities of four different human IFN- α subtypes (IFN- α 1, IFN- α 2, IFN- α 8 and IFN- α 21) and IFN- β . The authors show that the K_D values of the IFN- α subtypes are all in the same range except IFN-α2 that had significantly lower affinities for the IFNAR1 ectodomain. (Jaks et al., 2007) The binding affinities to the IFNAR2 ectodomain were much higher than to IFNAR1. IFN- α 1 showed the lowest affinity to IFNAR1 of all the subtypes tested. The authors also observed that IFN-β has the highest affinities for the ectodomains of both receptor subunits. Another study from 2011 basically confirmed these findings, but extended the previous work by analysing additional human IFN-α subtypes (Lavoie et al., 2011). They compared the binding affinities of all human IFN- α subtypes with respect to IFN- α 2a. Only IFN- α 10 and IFN- α 17 had lower binding affinities than IFN- α 2a, whereas all other human IFN- α subtypes showed a tighter binding to their receptor. The authors observed that the affinities were clearly associated with the anti-proliferative potency of the different IFN- α subtypes, but no correlation with the antiviral activities of the subtypes was found, as determined in VSV and encephalomyocarditis virus neutralization assays. The differences in the receptor affinities can result in different downstream signalling cascades shown by phosphorylation of STAT molecules and MAPK (Cull et al., 2003). The authors show that murine IFN- α 1, IFN- α 2, IFN- α 4 and IFN- α 5 induced a tyrosine phosphorylation of STAT1, whereas tyrosine phosphorylation of STAT3 was only induced in response to IFN- α 1. For IFN- α subtypes, it was also suggested that the quantity of the receptor on the surface of a specific target cell correlates with different biological activities of the subtypes indicating that abundant IFNAR expression might compensate for the weak binding affinity of certain IFN-α subtypes (Moraga et al., 2009). In addition to the varying binding affinities, differences in tissue-specific expression of the IFN- α subtypes or the receptor may influence biological activities. Moll and colleagues showed that the ISG mRNA induction upon stimulation with the different human IFN-α subtypes was different in fibroblasts than in endothelial cells and depended on the ISG analysed (Moll et al., 2011). The IFNproducing cell type and the type of infecting virus may also



change the expression and action of different IFN-α subtypes (Baig and Fish, 2008; Easlick et al., 2010). For example, for SIV-infected rhesus macaques it was reported that different IFN- α subtypes (IFN- α 1/13, IFN- α 2, IFN- α 4, IFN- α 6 and IFNα8) are rapidly expressed after an infection in lymphoid tissues such as tonsils, whereas in mucosal tissue, only a weak and delayed type I IFN response was observed (Easlick et al., 2010). Others have reported that individual IFN-α subtypes induce the expression of a specific pattern of ISG, which is consistent with the model of different receptor affinities as well as cell type-specific responses (Der et al., 1998; Grumbach et al., 1999; Cull et al., 2003; Leaman et al., 2003; Severa et al., 2006). A detailed list of the different biological activities, their induction of ISGs and their expression after stimulation with viral components is shown in Table 1 for the human IFN-α subtypes and in Table 2 for the murine subtypes. However, until now, no unique function has been attributed to any given subtype (Uze et al., 2007; Lavoie et al., 2011) and the redundancy of the IFN- α subtype system is also very poorly understood. This implies that more research on individual IFN- α subtypes has to be performed to define their specific anti-viral activities.

So far, an only very limited number of in vitro and in vivo studies using virus infection models have reported on the distinct anti-viral activities of specific IFN-α subtypes. In vitro studies demonstrated that IFN- α 1, IFN- α 4, IFN- α 5, IFN- α 6 and IFN-α9 mediate anti-viral activity against herpes simplex virus (Harle et al., 2002), whereas IFN-α11 and IFN-α4 were the best candidates in blocking the replication of mengovirus (van Pesch et al., 2004). In vivo, it was shown that during Friend retrovirus (FV) infection of mice, therapeutic treatment with IFN- α 1, IFN- α 4, IFN- α 9 and IFN- α 11 reduced the viral loads significantly, whereas IFN- α 2, IFN- α 5 and IFN- α 6 could not inhibit viral replication (Gerlach et al., 2009; Gibbert et al., 2012). In DNA-vaccination studies with different IFN-α subtypes against murine cytomegalovirus (MCMV) infection, it was shown that vaccination with IFN- α 1, IFN- α 4 and IFN-α9 as adjuvants resulted in decreased viral loads after virus challenge in the muscle only, whereas vaccination with IFN-α6 inhibited MCMV replication in all the organs investigated. Two IFN- α subtypes (IFN- α 2 and IFN- α 5) were not able to reduce viral loads in these experiments (Cull et al., 2002). In contrast to MCMV infection, DNA-vaccination studies against influenza virus infection identified IFN-α5 and IFN- α 6 to be the most efficient subtypes in reducing viral titres (James et al., 2007). Vaccination studies with adenoviral vectors encoding for the FV envelope or group-specific antigen (Gag) proteins in combination with different IFN-α subtypes as adjuvants resulted in strong immune protection of vaccinated mice against FV challenge after vaccination with IFN- α 2, IFN- α 4, IFN- α 6 and IFN- α 9, whereas the IFN- α subtypes IFN- α 1, IFN- α 5 or IFN- β did not improve protection against retroviral challenge (Bayer et al., 2011).

In most of these infection studies only the direct anti-viral effects of IFN- α subtypes were investigated, as indicated by a reduction of viral titres. This does not take into account any anti-viral effect of IFN- α induced by an immediate direct anti-viral response followed by a modulation of innate and adaptive immune responses against the virus. This dual role of type I IFNs has been shown for chronic HCV and HBV infection. During the first phase of IFN- α -treatment, a strong

decline in viral loads was observed due to the direct anti-viral effects induced by IFN-α. However, the virus is usually not completely eliminated during this phase of treatment in infected patients (Neumann et al., 1998). During on-going treatment, viral loads further decrease slowly, which can finally result in a total clearance of HBV or HCV. These data suggest that in the first phase of IFN-α treatment, the rapid decline in viral loads is mediated by the induction of antiviral enzymes. In the later phase of IFN-α treatment, modulation of innate and adaptive immune cells is required for viral clearance (Herrmann et al., 2003; Feld and Hoofnagle, 2005; Stegmann et al., 2010). We investigated the immunomodulatory effects of some IFN-α subtypes during Friend Retrovirus infection in vivo. IFN-α1 stimulated virus-specific cytotoxic T cells, and during treatment with IFN-α11 or IFNα1, both are required for an optimal NK cell response (Gerlach et al., 2009; Gibbert et al., 2012). Others investigated the immunomodulatory effect of IFN-α2 on NK cells during treatment of HCV-infected patients (Ahlenstiel et al., 2011). This dual action, especially the unique immunomodulatory effects of IFN-α subtypes, should be investigated in detail for future treatments of viral infections.

Drugs that induce endogenous IFN-α production

The induction of type I IFN depends on the recognition of invading pathogens by TLRs and other sensing receptors. Artificial ligands for TLRs can be used to mimic infections and to induce IFN responses in the host. This can be beneficial for therapeutic treatment of infections to improve the host immune response and combat pathogen replication. Many studies with different TLR ligands were performed in cell culture or animal models for virus infections. Synthetic ligands for TLR3 and 9 (polyinosinic : polycytidylic acid (poly I:C) and CpG oligodeoxynucleotides respectively) were shown to be effective in treating viral infections like HIV, HBV, HCV, herpes virus or FV (McClary et al., 2000; Ashkar et al., 2004; Isogawa et al., 2005; Gill et al., 2006; Kraft et al., 2007; McHutchison et al., 2007; Trapp et al., 2009; Gibbert et al., 2010). We and others have shown in mice that the therapeutic effect of the TLR ligand used depends on the induction of type I IFN, as therapeutic treatment with the different TLR ligands is not effective in mice deficient in the type I IFN receptor (IFNAR-/-) (McClary et al., 2000; Isogawa et al., 2005; Gill et al., 2006; Gibbert et al., 2010). For the hepadnavirus woodchuck hepatitis virus (WHV), it was shown that the stimulation of peripheral blood lymphocytes from acute and chronic WHV-infected woodchucks with the TLR3 ligand poly I : C reduced viral titres in vitro and in vivo, and this was due to the expression of specific woodchuck IFN-α subtypes (Lu et al., 2008). Ligands for TLR3 or TLR9 mainly induce type I IFN, which in turn induces the expression of various anti-viral genes and modulates the immune cell function. As most of the TLRs are expressed by DC, the therapeutic treatment with TLR ligands also results in the activation and improved antigen presentation of DC and thus further improves priming of T-cell responses (Lore et al., 2003).



Table 1 Biological activity of human IFN- α subtypes

IFN-α subtype	Induction	Differential biological effects (all <i>in vitr</i> o)	Induced ISGs	References
α1/13			lower IP-10 induction compared with IFN- α 2	Hilkens et al., 2003
	In poly I : C or LPS-stimulated monocytes			Hillyer et al., 2012
	By CpG and imiquimod in PBMC			Puig <i>et al.</i> , 2012
		Less potent against VSV compared with IFN-α8		Jaks <i>et al.</i> , 2007
		Lowest anti-viral activity against influenza A virus	Lowest activity to induce ISG mRNA compared with all other IFN-α subtypes	Moll et al., 2011
	By HSV, NDV and RSV in PBMC			Loseke et al., 2003
α2			High APOBEC3G, APOBEC3A, PKR and IDO induction	Vazquez <i>et al.,</i> 2011
			Strong induction of IP-10 and iNOS in DC but not in T cells; induction of IL-12Rβ2 in T cells but not DC	Hilkens et al., 2003
	By CpG and imiquimod in PBMC			Puig <i>et al.</i> , 2012
	By HSV, NDV and RSV in PBMC			Loseke et al., 2003
		High anti-viral activity against influenza A virus	Potent inducer of IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Moll <i>et al.</i> , 2011
		Induces chemokinesis of T cells and T cell migration		Foster et al., 2004
		low activity against human metapneumovirus		Scagnolari <i>et al.</i> , 2011
α4		Intermediate anti-viral activity against influenza A virus	Intermediate capacity to induce IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Moll <i>et al.,</i> 2011
α5	Main subtype in the liver			Castelruiz <i>et al.</i> , 1999
		Stronger Stat1 and Tyk2 signaling than IFN-α2	High 2'-5'-OAS expression in hepatocytic cells	Larrea et al., 2004
		highest anti-viral acitivity against VSV		Jaks et al., 2007
			Intermediate capacity to induce IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Moll <i>et al.</i> , 2011
		Strong anti-viral activity against human metapneumovirus		Scagnolari <i>et al.</i> , 2011
α6	Low in pDC			Szubin <i>et al.</i> , 2008; Hillyer <i>et al.</i> , 2012
	Low by HSV, NDV and RSV in PBMC			Loseke et al., 2003
			Potent inducer of IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Moll et al., 2011
		Strong anti-viral activity against human metapneumovirus		Scagnolari <i>et al.,</i> 2011



Table 1 Continued

IFN-α subtype	Induction	Differential biological effects (all <i>in vitro</i>)	Induced ISGs	References
α7	Not induced by imiquimod-stimulation in pDC			Hillyer et al., 2012
	By CpG in PBMC			Puig <i>et al.</i> , 2012
			Potent inducer of IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Moll et al., 2011
α8	Weak induction in pDC			Hillyer et al., 2012
	By CpG in PBMC			Puig <i>et al.</i> , 2012
	Intermediate induction by HSV, NDV and RSV in PBMC			Loseke et al., 2003
		Most effective in suppressing HCV replication		Koyama et al., 2006
			Potent inducer of IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Moll et al., 2011
		Strong anti-viral activity against human metapneumovirus		Scagnolari <i>et al.,</i> 2011
α10	In poly I : C-stimulated DC and CpG-stimulated macrophages			Hillyer et al., 2012
	By CpG in PBMC			Puig <i>et al.</i> , 2012
		Most effective anti-viral activity against SFV and VSV		Yamaoka <i>et al.,</i> 1999
			Potent inducer of IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Moll et al., 2011
		Strong anti-viral activity against human metapneumovirus		Scagnolari <i>et al.,</i> 2011
α14	In CpG-stimulated macrophages			Hillyer et al., 2012
	By CpG and imiquimod in PBMC			Puig <i>et al.</i> , 2012
			Potent inducer of IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Moll et al., 2011
α16	By CpG in PBMC			Puig <i>et al.</i> , 2012
			Intermediate inducer of IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Moll <i>et al.</i> , 2011
α17		Less potent against human metapneumovirus		Scagnolari <i>et al.,</i> 2011
α21	High in rubella virus-infected ECV304 cells			Mo et al., 2007
	high in poly I : C-stimulated DC			Hillyer et al., 2012
			High APOBEC3G induction	Vazquez <i>et al.,</i> 2011
	By CpG in PBMC			Puig <i>et al.</i> , 2012
			Intermediate inducer of IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Moll <i>et al.,</i> 2011
		Less potent against human metapneumovirus		Scagnolari <i>et al.,</i> 2011

HSV, herpes simplex virus; IDO, indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase; NDV, Newcastle disease virus; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; pDC, plasmacytoid DC; RSV, respiratory syncytial virus.



Table 2 Biological activity of murine IFN- α subtypes

IFN-α subtype	Induction	Differential biological effects (in vitro¹/in vivo²)	Induced ISGs	References
α1		Anti-viral activity against FV; improves NK and CD8+ T-cell response		Gerlach et al., 2009
	By influenza virus in L929 cells	·		Fung <i>et al.</i> , 2004 ¹
	By coxsackie virus in heart tissue			Baig and Fish, 200
		Strong anti-viral activity against HSV-2; improves CD8+ T-cell responses		Austin et al., 2006 ²
		Anti-viral activity against HSV-1		Austin et al., 2005 ²
		Strong anti-viral activity against MCMV		Yeow et al., 1997 ²
	By reovirus in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts	Anti-viral against reovirus	Induces ISG15 and IRF7 in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts	Li and Sherry, 2010
α2	By reovirus in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts	Anti-viral against reovirus	Induces ISG15 and IRF7 in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts	Li and Sherry, 2010
α4		Strong anti-viral activity against mengovirus		van Pesch <i>et al.</i> , 2004 ¹
		Anti-viral activity against FV		Gerlach et al., 200
	By influenza A virus			Fung <i>et al.</i> , 2004 ¹
	High by SeV and MHV-1 in L929 and L2 cells and high by MHV-1 in lymph nodes			Baig and Fish, 2008 ^{1/2}
	By reovirus in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts	Anti-viral against reovirus	Induces ISG15 and IRF7 in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts	Li and Sherry, 201
α.5		Strong anti-viral activity against influenza virus		James et al., 2007
		Strong anti-viral activity against HSV-2		Austin et al., 2006
	By reovirus in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts	Anti-viral against reovirus	Induces ISG15 and IRF7 in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts	Li and Sherry, 201
α6Τ		Strong anti-viral activity against influenza virus		James <i>et al.</i> , 2007
α7/10 α8/6	By influenza virus			Fung <i>et al.</i> , 2004 ¹
0.0/0	By reovirus in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts			Li and Sherry, 201
α9		Anti-viral activity against FV		Gerlach et al., 200
α11		Improves NK cell responses during FV infection; anti-viral activity against MCMV	Induces PKR and OAS-1a in splenocytes	Gibbert et al., 201
	By influenza A virus			Fung <i>et al.</i> , 2004 ¹
		Strong anti-viral activity against mengovirus; strong antiproliferative activity (B16 melanoma cells)		van Pesch <i>et al.</i> , 2004 ¹
α12		Strong antiproliferative activity (B16 melanoma cells)		van Pesch <i>et al.,</i> 2004 ¹
	Not induced by influenza virus or poly I : C stimulated L929 cells	Anti-viral activity against influenza A virus		Tsang <i>et al.</i> , 2007
α13		Anti-viral activity against Theiler's virus, mengovirus, and VSV		van Pesch and Michiels, 2003
αΑ				
αΒ	By influenza A virus			Fung <i>et al.,</i> 2004

The nomenclature conforms to that used by van Pesch et al. (2004). MHV-1, mouse hepatitis virus-1; SeV, Sendai virus.



Also, ligands for TLR7 and 8 can be used therapeutically. They do induce type I IFN comparable with TLR3 or TLR9 ligands, show anti-viral potency and activate the host immune response (Horsmans *et al.*, 2005; Pockros *et al.*, 2007). Many clinical studies with agonists for TLR7 and TLR8 are under way, for example, against infections with HBV or HCV (refer to http://www.clinicaltrials.gov), which indicate their potential for future therapy of viral infections.

The application of some TLR ligands is already being used to treat cancer, allergies or viral infections. In addition, numerous studies have been performed to investigate the use of TLR ligands as adjuvants for vaccination. There are several vaccines available, which make use of monophosphoryl lipid A, a synthetic ligand for TLR4, to further improve the immune response against viruses (Fendrix® and Cervarix®, GlaxoSmith-Kline, Rixensart, Belgium; Supervax®, Dynavax Technologies, Berkley, CA, USA). Agonists for endosomal TLRs like TLR3, 7/8 and 9, which recognize viral nucleic acids, are promising candidates for the treatment of infectious diseases. Aldara® (3 M Pharma), which is already approved for the topical treatment of actinic keratosis, superficial basal cell carcinoma and external genital warts caused by human papilloma virus, modifies the anti-viral immune response. Aldara contains the TLR7 agonist imiquimod, which induces a local inflammatory response after binding to its receptor. The ligand recognition mediates the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and type I IFN in an IRF-7- and MyD88-dependent manner (Takaoka et al., 2005; Honda et al., 2006; Barchet et al., 2008). This eventually results in the elimination of virus-infected as well as transformed cells.

A recent study by Hillyer and colleagues shows that *in vitro* treatment of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells, purified myeloid DCs, plasmacytoid DCs and monocytes with different TLR ligands resulted in the induction of specific IFN- α subtypes by the ligands tested (Hillyer *et al.*, 2012). This study reveals a coordinated ligand- and cell-specific expression of type I IFN subtypes, which might be useful for future treatment of viral infections with different IFN- α subtypes or their specific induction by exogenously applied TLR ligands.

Future perspectives

Therapeutic application of IFN- α or TLR agonists against various viral infections induces a direct anti-viral response in patients, which is followed by an enhanced immune response. One major problem of these therapies is the strong side effects of the agents. One idea might be to analyse the exact anti-viral and immunomodulatory activities of all the IFN- α subtypes present. The use of one specific IFN- α subtype might result in a more appropriate treatment, which would selectively combat the replicating virus and further improve the outcome of the therapy. In addition, a combination of the most potent anti-viral and immunomodulatory IFN- α subtypes might also be a new concept for effective treatment of viral infections.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the DFG Transregio 60 and by the DFG GRK 1045.

Conflict of interest

The authors state no conflict of interest.

References

Ahlenstiel G, Edlich B, Hogdal LJ, Rotman Y, Noureddin M, Feld JJ *et al.* (2011). Early changes in natural killer cell function indicate virologic response to interferon therapy for hepatitis C. Gastroenterology 141: 1231–1239. e1231–e1232.

Akira S, Takeda K (2004). Toll-like receptor signalling. Nat Rev Immunol 4:499-511.

Ashkar AA, Yao XD, Gill N, Sajic D, Patrick AJ, Rosenthal KL (2004). Toll-like receptor (TLR)-3, but not TLR4, agonist protects against genital herpes infection in the absence of inflammation seen with CpG DNA. J Infect Dis 190: 1841–1849.

Austin BA, James C, Silverman RH, Carr DJ (2005). Critical role for the oligoadenylate synthetase/RNase L pathway in response to IFN-beta during acute ocular herpes simplex virus type 1 infection. J Immunol 175: 1100–1106.

Austin BA, James CM, Harle P, Carr DJ (2006). Direct application of plasmid DNA containing type I interferon transgenes to vaginal mucosa inhibits HSV-2 mediated mortality. Biol Proced Online 8: 55–62.

Baig E, Fish EN (2008). Distinct signature type I interferon responses are determined by the infecting virus and the target cell. Antivir Ther 13: 409–422.

Barchet W, Wimmenauer V, Schlee M, Hartmann G (2008). Accessing the therapeutic potential of immunostimulatory nucleic acids. Curr Opin Immunol 20: 389–395.

Bayer W, Lietz R, Ontikatze T, Johrden L, Tenbusch M, Nabi G *et al.* (2011). Improved vaccine protection against retrovirus infection after co-administration of adenoviral vectors encoding viral antigens and type I interferon subtypes. Retrovirology 8: 75.

Biron CA, Sonnenfeld G, Welsh RM (1984). Interferon induces natural killer cell blastogenesis in vivo. J Leukoc Biol 35: 31–37.

Blatt LM, Davis JM, Klein SB, Taylor MW (1996). The biologic activity and molecular characterization of a novel synthetic interferon-alpha species, consensus interferon. J Interferon Cytokine Res 16: 489–499.

Castelruiz Y, Larrea E, Boya P, Civeira MP, Prieto J (1999). Interferon alfa subtypes and levels of type I interferons in the liver and peripheral mononuclear cells in patients with chronic hepatitis C and controls. Hepatology 29: 1900–1904.

Clemens MJ, Elia A (1997). The double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase PKR: structure and function. J Interferon Cytokine Res 17: 503–524.

Cull VS, Bartlett EJ, James CM (2002). Type I interferon gene therapy protects against cytomegalovirus-induced myocarditis. Immunology 106: 428–437.

Cull VS, Tilbrook PA, Bartlett EJ, Brekalo NL, James CM (2003). Type I interferon differential therapy for erythroleukemia: specificity of STAT activation. Blood 101: 2727–2735.

Der SD, Zhou A, Williams BR, Silverman RH (1998). Identification of genes differentially regulated by interferon alpha, beta, or gamma using oligonucleotide arrays. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95: 15623–15628.



Easlick J, Szubin R, Lantz S, Baumgarth N, Abel K (2010). The early interferon alpha subtype response in infant macaques infected orally with SIV. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 55: 14–28.

Epperson DE, Arnold D, Spies T, Cresswell P, Pober JS, Johnson DR (1992). Cytokines increase transporter in antigen processing-1 expression more rapidly than HLA class I expression in endothelial cells. J Immunol 149: 3297–3301.

Erlandsson L, Blumenthal R, Eloranta ML, Engel H, Alm G, Weiss S *et al.* (1998). Interferon-beta is required for interferon-alpha production in mouse fibroblasts. Curr Biol 8: 223–226.

Feld JJ, Hoofnagle JH (2005). Mechanism of action of interferon and ribavirin in treatment of hepatitis C. Nature 436: 967–972.

Foster GR, Masri SH, David R, Jones M, Datta A, Lombardi G *et al.* (2004). IFN-alpha subtypes differentially affect human T cell motility. J Immunol 173: 1663–1670.

Fried MW, Shiffman ML, Reddy KR, Smith C, Marinos G, Goncales FL Jr *et al.* (2002). Peginterferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C virus infection. N Engl J Med 347: 975–982.

Fung MC, Sia SF, Leung KN, Mak NK (2004). Detection of differential expression of mouse interferon-alpha subtypes by polymerase chain reaction using specific primers. J Immunol Methods 284: 177–186.

Garcia MA, Gil J, Ventoso I, Guerra S, Domingo E, Rivas C *et al.* (2006). Impact of protein kinase PKR in cell biology: from anti-viral to antiproliferative action. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 70: 1032–1060.

Gerlach N, Gibbert K, Alter C, Nair S, Zelinskyy G, James CM *et al.* (2009). Anti-retroviral effects of type I IFN subtypes in vivo. Eur J Immunol 39: 136–146.

Gibbert K, Joedicke JJ, Meryk A, Trilling M, Francois S, Duppach J *et al.* (2012). Interferon alpha subtype 11 activates NK cells and enables control of retroviral infection. Plos Pathog 8: e1002868. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002868

Gibbert K, Dietze KK, Zelinskyy G, Lang KS, Barchet W, Kirschning CJ *et al.* (2010). Polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid treatment of Friend retrovirus-infected mice improves functional properties of virus-specific T cells and prevents virus-induced disease. J Immunol 185: 6179–6189.

Gill N, Deacon PM, Lichty B, Mossman KL, Ashkar AA (2006). Induction of innate immunity against herpes simplex virus type 2 infection via local delivery of toll-like receptor ligands correlates with beta interferon production. Journal of Virology 80: 9943–9950.

Greenberg HB, Pollard RB, Lutwick LI, Gregory PB, Robinson WS, Merigan TC (1976). Effect of human leukocyte interferon on hepatitis B virus infection in patients with chronic active hepatitis. N Engl J Med 295: 517–522.

Grumbach IM, Fish EN, Uddin S, Majchrzak B, Colamonici OR, Figulla HR *et al.* (1999). Activation of the Jak-Stat pathway in cells that exhibit selective sensitivity to the anti-viral effects of IFN-beta compared with IFN-alpha. J Interferon Cytokine Res 19: 797–801.

Haller O, Staeheli P, Kochs G (2007). Interferon-induced Mx proteins in anti-viral host defense. Biochimie 89: 812–818.

Hardy MP, Owczarek CM, Jermiin LS, Ejdeback M, Hertzog PJ (2004). Characterization of the type I interferon locus and identification of novel genes. Genomics 84: 331–345.

Harle P, Cull V, Agbaga MP, Silverman R, Williams BR, James C *et al.* (2002). Differential effect of murine alpha/beta interferon transgenes on antagonization of herpes simplex virus type 1 replication. J Virol 76: 6558–6567.

Hermann P, Rubio M, Nakajima T, Delespesse G, Sarfati M (1998). IFN-alpha priming of human monocytes differentially regulates gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria-induced IL-10 release and selectively enhances IL-12p70, CD80, and MHC class I expression. J Immunol 161: 2011–2018.

Herrmann E, Lee JH, Marinos G, Modi M, Zeuzem S (2003). Effect of ribavirin on hepatitis C viral kinetics in patients treated with pegylated interferon. Hepatology 37: 1351–1358.

Hilkens CM, Schlaak JF, Kerr IM (2003). Differential responses to IFN-alpha subtypes in human T cells and dendritic cells. J Immunol 171: 5255–5263.

Hillyer P, Mane VP, Schramm LM, Puig M, Verthelyi D, Chen A *et al.* (2012). Expression profiles of human interferon-alpha and interferon-lambda subtypes are ligand- and cell-dependent. Immunol Cell Biol 90: 774–783.

Honda K, Yanai H, Negishi H, Asagiri M, Sato M, Mizutani T *et al.* (2005). IRF-7 is the master regulator of type-I interferon-dependent immune responses. Nature 434: 772–777.

Honda K, Takaoka A, Taniguchi T (2006). Type I interferon [corrected] gene induction by the interferon regulatory factor family of transcription factors. Immunity 25: 349–360.

Hoofnagle JH, Peters M, Mullen KD, Jones DB, Rustgi V, Di Bisceglie A *et al.* (1988). Randomized, controlled trial of recombinant human alpha-interferon in patients with chronic hepatitis B. Gastroenterology 95: 1318–1325.

Horsmans Y, Berg T, Desager JP, Mueller T, Schott E, Fletcher SP *et al.* (2005). Isatoribine, an agonist of TLR7, reduces plasma virus concentration in chronic hepatitis C infection. Hepatology 42: 724–731.

Hunter CA, Gabriel KE, Radzanowski T, Neyer LE, Remington JS (1997). Type I interferons enhance production of IFN-gamma by NK cells. Immunol Lett 59: 1–5.

Isaacs A, Lindenmann J (1957). Virus interference. I. The interferon. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 147: 258–267.

Isogawa M, Robek MD, Furuichi Y, Chisari FV (2005). Toll-like receptor signaling inhibits hepatitis B virus replication in vivo. Journal of Virology 79: 7269–7272.

Jaeckel E, Cornberg M, Wedemeyer H, Santantonio T, Mayer J, Zankel M *et al.* (2001). Treatment of acute hepatitis C with interferon alfa-2b. N Engl J Med 345: 1452–1457.

Jaks E, Gavutis M, Uze G, Martal J, Piehler J (2007). Differential receptor subunit affinities of type I interferons govern differential signal activation. J Mol Biol 366: 525–539.

James CM, Abdad MY, Mansfield JP, Jacobsen HK, Vind AR, Stumbles PA *et al.* (2007). Differential activities of alpha/beta IFN subtypes against influenza virus in vivo and enhancement of specific immune responses in DNA vaccinated mice expressing haemagglutinin and nucleoprotein. Vaccine 25: 1856–1867.

Keating SE, Baran M, Bowie AG (2011). Cytosolic DNA sensors regulating type I interferon induction. Trends Immunol 32: 574–581.

Kolumam GA, Thomas S, Thompson LJ, Sprent J, Murali-Krishna K (2005). Type I interferons act directly on CD8 T cells to allow clonal expansion and memory formation in response to viral infection. J Exp Med 202: 637–650.

Koyama T, Sakamoto N, Tanabe Y, Nakagawa M, Itsui Y, Takeda Y *et al.* (2006). Divergent activities of interferon-alpha subtypes against intracellular hepatitis C virus replication. Hepatol Res 34: 41–49.



Kraft AR, Krux F, Schimmer S, Ohlen C, Greenberg PD, Dittmer U (2007). CpG oligodeoxynucleotides allow for effective adoptive T-cell therapy in chronic retroviral infection. Blood 109: 2982–2984.

Larrea E, Aldabe R, Riezu-Boj JI, Guitart A, Civeira MP, Prieto J *et al.* (2004). IFN-alpha5 mediates stronger Tyk2-stat-dependent activation and higher expression of 2',5'-oligoadenylate synthetase than IFN-alpha2 in liver cells. J Interferon Cytokine Res 24: 497–503.

Lavoie TB, Kalie E, Crisafulli-Cabatu S, Abramovich R, DiGioia G, Moolchan K *et al.* (2011). Binding and activity of all human alpha interferon subtypes. Cytokine 56: 282–289.

Le Bon A, Schiavoni G, D'Agostino G, Gresser I, Belardelli F, Tough DF (2001). Type i interferons potently enhance humoral immunity and can promote isotype switching by stimulating dendritic cells in vivo. Immunity 14: 461–470.

Le Bon A, Etchart N, Rossmann C, Ashton M, Hou S, Gewert D *et al.* (2003). Cross-priming of CD8+ T cells stimulated by virus-induced type I interferon. Nat Immunol 4: 1009–1015.

Le Bon A, Durand V, Kamphuis E, Thompson C, Bulfone-Paus S, Rossmann C *et al.* (2006a). Direct stimulation of T cells by type I IFN enhances the CD8+ T cell response during cross-priming. J Immunol 176: 4682–4689.

Le Bon A, Thompson C, Kamphuis E, Durand V, Rossmann C, Kalinke U *et al.* (2006b). Cutting edge: enhancement of antibody responses through direct stimulation of B and T cells by type I IFN. J Immunol 176: 2074–2078.

Leaman DW, Chawla-Sarkar M, Jacobs B, Vyas K, Sun Y, Ozdemir A *et al.* (2003). Novel growth and death related interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) in melanoma: greater potency of IFN-beta compared with IFN-alpha2. J Interferon Cytokine Res 23: 745–756.

Li BL, Zhao XX, Liu XY, Kim HS, Raska K Jr, Ortaldo JR *et al.* (1990). Alpha-interferon structure and natural killer cell stimulatory activity. Cancer Res 50: 5328–5332.

Li L, Sherry B (2010). IFN-alpha expression and anti-viral effects are subtype and cell type specific in the cardiac response to viral infection. Virology 396: 59–68.

Liu C, Zhu H, Subramanian GM, Moore PA, Xu Y, Nelson DR (2007). Anti-hepatitis C virus activity of albinterferon alfa-2b in cell culture. Hepatol Res 37: 941–947.

Lore K, Betts MR, Brenchley JM, Kuruppu J, Khojasteh S, Perfetto S *et al.* (2003). Toll-like receptor ligands modulate dendritic cells to augment cytomegalovirus- and HIV-1-specific T cell responses. J Immunol 171: 4320–4328.

Loseke S, Grage-Griebenow E, Wagner A, Gehlhar K, Bufe A (2003). Differential expression of IFN-alpha subtypes in human PBMC: evaluation of novel real-time PCR assays. J Immunol Methods 276: 207–222.

Lu Y, Xu Y, Yang D, Kemper T, Roggendorf M, Lu M (2008). Molecular characterization of woodchuck type I interferons and their expression by woodchuck peripheral blood lymphocytes. Cytokine 41: 127–135.

McClary H, Koch R, Chisari FV, Guidotti LG (2000). Relative sensitivity of hepatitis B virus and other hepatotropic viruses to the anti-viral effects of cytokines. Journal of Virology 74: 2255–2264.

McHutchison JG, Poynard T (1999). Combination therapy with interferon plus ribavirin for the initial treatment of chronic hepatitis C. Semin Liver Dis 19 (Suppl. 1): 57–65.

McHutchison JG, Bacon BR, Gordon SC, Lawitz E, Shiffman M, Afdhal NH *et al.* (2007). Phase 1B, randomized, double-blind,

dose-escalation trial of CPG 10101 in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus. Hepatology 46: 1341–1349.

Manns MP, McHutchison JG, Gordon SC, Rustgi VK, Shiffman M, Reindollar R *et al.* (2001). Peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin compared with interferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin for initial treatment of chronic hepatitis C: a randomised trial. Lancet 358: 958–965.

Marcellin P, Bonino F, Lau GK, Farci P, Yurdaydin C, Piratvisuth T *et al.* (2009). Sustained response of hepatitis B e antigen-negative patients 3 years after treatment with peginterferon alpha-2a. Gastroenterology 136: 2169–2179. e2161–e2164.

Marie I, Durbin JE, Levy DE (1998). Differential viral induction of distinct interferon-alpha genes by positive feedback through interferon regulatory factor-7. EMBO J 17: 6660–6669.

Mo XY, Ma W, Zhang Y, Zhao H, Deng Y, Yuan W *et al.* (2007). Microarray analyses of differentially expressed human genes and biological processes in ECV304 cells infected with rubella virus. J Virol 79: 1783–1791.

Moll HP, Maier T, Zommer A, Lavoie T, Brostjan C (2011). The differential activity of interferon-alpha subtypes is consistent among distinct target genes and cell types. Cytokine 53: 52–59.

Moraga I, Harari D, Schreiber G, Uze G, Pellegrini S (2009). Receptor density is key to the alpha2/beta interferon differential activities. Mol Cell Biol 29: 4778–4787.

Nagata S, Taira H, Hall A, Johnsrud L, Streuli M, Ecsodi J *et al.* (1980). Synthesis in *E. coli* of a polypeptide with human leukocyte interferon activity. Nature 284: 316–320.

Neil SJ, Zang T, Bieniasz PD (2008). Tetherin inhibits retrovirus release and is antagonized by HIV-1 Vpu. Nature 451: 425–430.

Nelson DR, Benhamou Y, Chuang WL, Lawitz EJ, Rodriguez-Torres M, Flisiak R *et al.* (2010). Albinterferon Alfa-2b was not inferior to pegylated interferon-alpha in a randomized trial of patients with chronic hepatitis C virus genotype 2 or 3. Gastroenterology 139: 1267–1276.

Neumann AU, Lam NP, Dahari H, Gretch DR, Wiley TE, Layden TJ *et al.* (1998). Hepatitis C viral dynamics in vivo and the anti-viral efficacy of interferon-alpha therapy. Science 282: 103–107.

NIH (1997). National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Conference Panel statement: management of hepatitis C. Hepatology 26 (3 Suppl. 1): 2S–10S.

Osborn BL, Olsen HS, Nardelli B, Murray JH, Zhou JX, Garcia A *et al.* (2002). Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies of a human serum albumin-interferon-alpha fusion protein in cynomolgus monkeys. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 303: 540–548.

Ozes ON, Reiter Z, Klein S, Blatt LM, Taylor MW (1992). A comparison of interferon-Con1 with natural recombinant interferons-alpha: anti-viral, antiproliferative, and natural killer-inducing activities. J Interferon Res 12: 55–59.

van Pesch V, Michiels T (2003). Characterization of interferon-alpha 13, a novel constitutive murine interferon-alpha subtype. J Biol Chem 278: 46321–46328.

van Pesch V, Lanaya H, Renauld JC, Michiels T (2004). Characterization of the murine alpha interferon gene family. J Virol 78: 8219–8228.

Pockros PJ, Guyader D, Patton H, Tong MJ, Wright T, McHutchison JG *et al.* (2007). Oral resiquimod in chronic HCV infection: safety and efficacy in 2 placebo-controlled, double-blind phase IIa studies. J Hepatol 47: 174–182.

Poordad F, McCone J Jr, Bacon BR, Bruno S, Manns MP, Sulkowski MS *et al.* (2011). Boceprevir for untreated chronic HCV genotype 1 infection. N Engl J Med 364: 1195–1206.

K Gibbert et al.

Puig M, Tosh KW, Schramm LM, Grajkowska LT, Kirschman KD, Tami C et al. (2012). TLR9 and TLR7 agonists mediate distinct type I IFN responses in humans and nonhuman primates in vitro and in vivo. J Leukoc Biol 91: 147-158.

Randall RE, Goodbourn S (2008). Interferons and viruses: an interplay between induction, signalling, anti-viral responses and virus countermeasures. J Gen Virol 89 (Pt 1): 1-47.

Salazar-Mather TP, Ishikawa R, Biron CA (1996). NK cell trafficking and cytokine expression in splenic compartments after IFN induction and viral infection. J Immunol 157: 3054-3064.

Santantonio T, Fasano M, Sinisi E, Guastadisegni A, Casalino C, Mazzola M et al. (2005). Efficacy of a 24-week course of PEG-interferon alpha-2b monotherapy in patients with acute hepatitis C after failure of spontaneous clearance. J Hepatol 42: 329-333.

Sato M, Hata N, Asagiri M, Nakaya T, Taniguchi T, Tanaka N (1998). Positive feedback regulation of type I IFN genes by the IFN-inducible transcription factor IRF-7. FEBS Lett 441: 106-110.

Scagnolari C, Trombetti S, Selvaggi C, Carbone T, Monteleone K, Spano L et al. (2011). In vitro sensitivity of human metapneumovirus to type I interferons. Viral Immunol 24: 159-164.

Severa M, Remoli ME, Giacomini E, Ragimbeau J, Lande R, Uze G et al. (2006). Differential responsiveness to IFN-alpha and IFN-beta of human mature DC through modulation of IFNAR expression. J Leukoc Biol 79: 1286-1294.

Sheehy AM, Gaddis NC, Choi JD, Malim MH (2002). Isolation of a human gene that inhibits HIV-1 infection and is suppressed by the viral Vif protein. Nature 418: 646-650.

Sherman KE, Flamm SL, Afdhal NH, Nelson DR, Sulkowski MS, Everson GT et al. (2011). Response-guided telaprevir combination treatment for hepatitis C virus infection. N Engl J Med 365: 1014-1024

Silverman RH (2007). Viral encounters with 2',5'-oligoadenylate synthetase and RNase L during the interferon anti-viral response. Journal of Virology 81: 12720-12729.

Stark GR, Kerr IM, Williams BR, Silverman RH, Schreiber RD (1998). How cells respond to interferons. Annu Rev Biochem 67: 227-264.

Stegmann KA, Bjorkstrom NK, Veber H, Ciesek S, Riese P, Wiegand J et al. (2010). Interferon-alpha-induced TRAIL on natural killer cells is associated with control of hepatitis C virus infection. Gastroenterology 138: 1885-1897.

Stremlau M, Owens CM, Perron MJ, Kiessling M, Autissier P, Sodroski J (2004). The cytoplasmic body component TRIM5alpha restricts HIV-1 infection in Old World monkeys. Nature 427: 848-853.

Szubin R, Chang WL, Greasby T, Beckett L, Baumgarth N (2008). Rigid interferon-alpha subtype responses of human plasmacytoid dendritic cells. J Interferon Cytokine Res 28: 749-763.

Takaoka A, Yanai H, Kondo S, Duncan G, Negishi H, Mizutani T et al. (2005). Integral role of IRF-5 in the gene induction programme activated by Toll-like receptors. Nature 434: 243-249.

Trapp S, Derby NR, Singer R, Shaw A, Williams VG, Turville SG et al. (2009). Double-stranded RNA analog poly(I:C) inhibits human immunodeficiency virus amplification in dendritic cells via type I interferon-mediated activation of APOBEC3G. J Virol 83: 884-895.

Trinchieri G, Santoli D, Granato D, Perussia B (1981). Antagonistic effects of interferons on the cytotoxicity mediated by natural killer cells. Fed Proc 40: 2705-2710.

Tsang SL, Leung PC, Leung KK, Yau WL, Hardy MP, Mak NK et al. (2007). Characterization of murine interferon-alpha 12 (MuIFN-alpha12): biological activities and gene expression. Cytokine 37: 138-149.

Uze G, Schreiber G, Piehler J, Pellegrini S (2007). The receptor of the type I interferon family. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 316: 71-95.

Vazquez N, Schmeisser H, Dolan MA, Bekisz J, Zoon KC, Wahl SM (2011). Structural variants of IFNalpha preferentially promote anti-viral functions. Blood 118: 2567-2577.

Wiegand J, Jackel E, Cornberg M, Hinrichsen H, Dietrich M, Kroeger J et al. (2004). Long-term follow-up after successful interferon therapy of acute hepatitis C. Hepatology 40: 98-107.

Wiegand J, Buggisch P, Boecher W, Zeuzem S, Gelbmann CM, Berg T et al. (2006). Early monotherapy with pegylated interferon alpha-2b for acute hepatitis C infection: the HEP-NET acute-HCV-II study. Hepatology 43: 250-256.

Witthoft T (2008). Review of consensus interferon in the treatment of chronic hepatitis C. Biologics 2: 635-643.

Yamaoka T, Kojima S, Ichi S, Kashiwazaki Y, Koide T, Sokawa Y (1999). Biologic and binding activities of IFN-alpha subtypes in ACHN human renal cell carcinoma cells and Daudi Burkitt's lymphoma cells. J Interferon Cytokine Res 19: 1343-1349.

Yeow WS, Lai CM, Beilharz MW (1997). The in vivo expression patterns of individual type I interferon genes in murine cytomegalovirus infections. Antiviral Res 34: 17-26.

Zeuzem S, Yoshida EM, Benhamou Y, Pianko S, Bain VG, Shouval D et al. (2008). Albinterferon alfa-2b dosed every two or four weeks in interferon-naive patients with genotype 1 chronic hepatitis C. Hepatology 48: 407-417.

Zeuzem S, Sulkowski MS, Lawitz EJ, Rustgi VK, Rodriguez-Torres M, Bacon BR et al. (2010). Albinterferon Alfa-2b was not inferior to pegylated interferon-alpha in a randomized trial of patients with chronic hepatitis C virus genotype 1. Gastroenterology 139: 1257-1266.

Zwarthoff EC, Mooren AT, Trapman J (1985). Organization, structure and expression of murine interferon alpha genes. Nucleic Acids Res 13: 791-804.